

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF EASTRY PARISH COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE
HELD ON MONDAY 2nd DEC 2013 AT THE PARISH ROOM AT 7.00pm**

Present: Councillor's M Kemp (Chairman) B Read J Gardiner
S Hooper S Mitchell

Clerk to the Council Sarah Wells 6 Members of the Public

1. APOLOGIES

Cllrs M Pemble, A Barwick & N Wickham

The meeting was closed so that the members of the public could speak. Concerns were raised about Wind Turbine applications. The meeting re-opened at 7.23pm.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST.

None received.

3. PLANNING APPLICATION

a) Applications

To discuss and make comment on planning application received from DDC for consultation

i) DOV/13/00914

Proposal: Erection of a wind turbine with a maximum tip height of 100m and associated infrastructure comprising of a turbine foundation, crane hardstanding, two electrical equipment kiosks, temporary construction compound and ancillary infrastructure

Location: Barville Farm, Barville Road, Tilmanstone, Deal, CT15 5BQ

RESOLVED this application should be objected to on the following grounds: - The proposed turbine by virtue of its siting, height and scale would result in detrimental effects on the visual amenity of the immediate and wider landscape. It will also detract from heritage assets, residential amenity (through noise and associated phenomena), highway safety, (as a result of shadow flicker causing driver distraction).The members were also concerned about the effect on protected species. They believe the above are likely to outweigh any benefits of the proposal in respect of reducing reliance on fossil fuels and contributing towards meeting national and regional targets for renewable energy.

ii) DOV/13/00927

Proposal: Erection of a single wind turbine with a maximum tip height of 100m and associated infrastructure comprising turbine foundation, crane hardstanding, two electrical equipment kiosks, temporary construction compound and ancillary infrastructure

Location: Land at Thornton Lane, Eastry, Sandwich, CT13 0ET

RESOLVED this application should be objected to on the following grounds: - The proposed turbine by virtue of its siting, height and scale would result in detrimental effects on the visual amenity of the immediate and wider landscape. It will also detract from heritage assets, residential amenity (through noise and associated phenomena), highway safety, (as a result of shadow flicker causing driver distraction).The members were also concerned about the effect on protected species. They believe the above are likely to outweigh any benefits of the proposal in respect of reducing reliance on fossil fuels and contributing towards meeting national and regional targets for renewable energy.

b) Decisions

None received.

c) Consultation

None received.

d) Correspondence

None received.

The meeting closed at 7.29pm